NEMECYS: Improved guidance for cyber security of medical devices, in compliance with the Medical Device Regulation (MDR) and the In-Vitro Diagnostic Regulation (IVDR)

> Karin Bernsmed, SINTEF (Norway) ECSCI webinar, December 10th, 2024





The NEMECYS project is co-funded by the European Union, by UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) and by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI).

About NEMECYS

HEU project on **cyber security of connected medical devices (CMD).** 12 partners, coordinated by SINTEF. Dates: 1st Jan 2023 – 31st December 2025.

Ambition:

- Investigate proportionate cyber security risk-benefit schemes
- Deliver tools and toolboxes for three stakeholder groups: manufacturers, integrators and operators (health service providers)
- Review relevant guidelines (MDCD 2019-16) and provide recommendations for improvement

Project results will be demonstrated in four representative case studies:

- Home dialysis, using a bioimpedance sensor patch from MODE Sensors (NO).
- Continuous monitoring of movement disorders, using a wearable device from PD Neurotechnology (UK).
- IVD medical devices for hospital point-of-care testing, operated at Ospedale San Raffaele SRL hospital (IT).
- **Mobile applications** (class IIb device) in hospitals and home environments, designed by Debiotec (CH) and used by Ribera Salud hospitals (ES).

Together with research partners and technology providers: Univ. of Southampton (UK), IBM (IL), Athens Technology Center (EL), Univ. of Ioannia (EL) and Information Catalyst (UK and ES)

Questions? info@nemecys.eu

We welcome collaboration with all kinds of stakeholders from the healthcare domain! <u>http://nemecys.eu</u>



Our stakeholders and toolboxes



the European Union



Our case studies





What is the EU MDR and IVDR



- The EU Medical Device Regulation (MDR) is a set of directives and rules that govern the production and distribution of medical devices in Europe
 - Applies to medical device
 - Covers "everything" from
- The EU In-Vitro Device Reperform an *in vitro* function
 - Applies to a vast list of dia specimens from within the
 - Examples include pregnar urinalysis kits, COVID-19 t
- Both these regulations er





The MDCG 2019-16 guidance

- Regulations can be hard to implement!
- The MDCG 2019-16 Medical Device Security Guidance is intended to assist practitioners in compliance with MDR and IVDR
- The Horizon Europe call "Enhancing cybersecurity of connected medical devices": HORIZON-HLTH-2022-IND-13-01 requested feedback to MDCG 2019-16:
 - Identify representative case studies
 - Evaluate the applicability of the guidance
 - Make recommendations



	Sidination Group Document	WDCG 2019-1016V
	2040 40	
MDCG Guidar	2019-16 Rev.1 nce on Cybersed	curity
for me	dical devices	,,

This document has been endorsed by the Medical Device Coordination Group (MDCG) established by Article 103 of Regulation (EU) 2017/745. The MDCG is composed of representatives of all Member States and it is chaired by a representative of the European Commission. The document is not a European Commission document and it cannot be regarded as reflecting the official position of the European Commission. Any views expressed in this document are not legally binding and only the Court of Justice of the European Union can give binding interpretations of Union law.

Page 1 of 46



Our feedback to the MDCG is a joint effort АСМ





https://nemecys.eu/

CYLCOMED https://www.cylcomed.eu/

Enlightened trust in governance

https://entrust-project.eu/

Sept

https://septon-project.eu/



https://www.medsecurance.org/

	RY acm	Association for Computing Machinery									SINTEF	Browse	About	Sign ir
Journals Mag	azines Pr	oceedings Boo	oks SIGs Cor	nferences	People					Sea	rch ACM Dig	ital Library	y I	٩
			Conference	Proceedin	igs Upcon	ning Events	Authors	Affiliati	ons Av	vard Winners	i			
Home > C	Conferences >	PETRA > Proceedi	ngs > PETRA '24 > .	A Way Forward	d for the MDC	G 2019–16 Me	dical Device	Security Gu	idance					
		research-artic	OPEN ACCESS							×	in 😴	f ≌		
		A Way F	orward for	the MD	CG 201	9-16 Me	edical 🛛	Device	Secu	rity Gui	dance			
		Authors:	Steve Taylor, 🔹 M rigues, 🔹 DušKo I	Martin Gilje Jaa Milojević, 🏾	atun, 🔹 Kai Dimitrios Ka	rin Bernsmed, Irras, 🔹 Ioar	Christonis Siachos,	s Androut + 12	sos, 2 <u>D</u> Authors)ietmar Frey, Info & Claim:	Simone	<u>Favrin</u> ,		
		<u>PETRA '24: Pro</u> Pages 593 - 5!	oceedings of the 17t 99 • <u>https://doi.org</u>	h Internationa /10.1145/3652	al Conference 2037.3663894	e on PErvasive <u>1</u>	Technologie	s Related	to Assistive	Environmen	<u>ts</u>			
		Published: 26	June 2024 <mark>Publicat</mark> i	ion History	() Chec	ck for updates								
		99 0 🖍 374						A 10	77	All for	mats 📕	PDF		
PETRA '24: Pro of the 17th Inte	ceedings ernation	Abstract	<u>.</u>										0	
A Way Forward MDCG 2019-16	l for the 5 Medic	MDCG 2	019-16 is intende	d to assist p	ractitioners	s in compliar	ice with the	e Medical	Device R	egulation a	nd the In-Vi	tro	~*	
Pages 593 – 59	9	Device F	Regulation. This p	aper presen	ts a gap ana	alysis of MD0	CG 2019-16	, identify	ing key ga	aps and pro	posing a			
← Previous	$Next \rightarrow$	robust s	et of recommend	lations to en	hance the l	oMT regulat	ory framev	vork. This –	work has	s been unde	ertaken by a	3	e n	
Abstract		selection	n of current (2023	3-2025) proje	ects, all fund	ded under th	e Horizon	Europe ca	all "Enhan	cing cybers	ecurity of			
References		rocomm	ed medical device	es : HURIZUI	projects Th	22-IND-13-01	, and this p	paper sur	nmarises	observatio	many			
Index Terms		recomm	endation themes	notably: lin	projects. In	security with	natient sat	fety and r	nivacy: ke	ening the	nidelines		<	
Recommendati	ions	current:	and usage recipe	s for the gui	idelines. Th	e paper also	suggests t	oolkit sol	utions to	address so	ne of the			
Comments		recomm	endations.			1 - 1	000							
АСМ 📴 ЦІ	GITAL BRARY													



Example of recommendations



- Linking Cybersecurity Risks, Patient Safety & Privacy Risks (NEMECYS, CYLCOMED, MEDSECURANCE)
 - It is not clear how cybersecurity techniques and privacy measures relate to patients' safety
 - Need to consider relationships between cybersecurity consequences ("A security violation that results from a threat action" (ISO/IEC 27001:2022)) and patient harms ("injury or damage to the health of people, or damage to property or the environment" (ISO 14971:2019))
 - A key integration point is via data, where a widely accepted set of risks is related to the CIA triad – Confidentiality, Integrity and Availability
 - E.g., compromises in the availability or integrity of MD sensor data can lead to late or inaccurate diagnosis, leading to potential patient harm



Example of recommendations



- Guidance on Cybersecurity Controls (NEMECYS)
 - Absence of guidance in the MDCG on security-related controls with respect to device classes
 - Causes difficulties in identifying security control criteria for types of MD
 - Recommend that reference to relevant cybersecurity risk management standards such as ISO 27002 are recommended by MDCG

Balancing Different Types of Patient Risk (NEMECYS)

- Recommend that the MDCG provide guidance on resolution of conflicts
 - E.g. between privacy requirements, cybersecurity and medical needs
- Advice on methods to evaluate balances between conflicting needs will enable decision maker to determine clear policy on acceptable balance between patient healthcare and privacy







• Keep MDCG 2019-16 Guidelines Current (NEMECYS, MEDSECURANCE)

- Recommended that MDCG guidelines are periodically updated with respect to evolving standards and state of the art
- Also to keep pace with evolutions of MDR / IVDR
- MD Lifecycle & Risk Assessment (NEMECYS)
- Recommended that the MDCG guidelines map guidance to the different stages of the whole MD lifecycle:
 - Design and manufacturing, deployment in (many different) scenarios, operation of the device in those scenarios and decommissioning / disposal.
 - Different lifecycle stages of a medical device may give rise to differing priorities for cybersecurity or patient harm





Conclusions

- The collaboration with our five HEU sibling projects has been a great success!
- Together, we have suggested 12 recommendations towards improving the guidance represented in MDCG 2019-16
- Considerable consensus across the projects in many recommendation themes, notably:
 - linking cybersecurity with patient safety and privacy;
 - keeping the guidelines current; and
 - usage recipes for the guidelines.
- All projects are at their halfway point, and subsequent papers / policy briefs will describe further recommendations to the MDCG 2019-16 guidelines as appropriate



Thank you for listening!





 \mathcal{M}

The NEMECYS project is co-funded by the European Union, by UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) and by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI).